Productivity Decline WorkShop #1 - Itinerary Sunday December 7, 1997 Logistics and General Overview 0830-0900 Informal greetings 0900-0930 Introduction & Logistics Jim Reichman & NCEAS Staff 9300-1000 Project overview-data synthesizom Gower 1000-1030 Project overview-modeling Ross McMurtrie 1030-1100 Break (coffee/tea) 1100-1130 Modification to agenda & general discussion 1130-1300 Lunch Review Of Specific Hypotheses Of NPP Decline In Aging Stands 1300-1430 The Stomatal Constraint Hypothesis (Rapporteur: Mike Ryan) 1300-1345 General overview: Experimental Hairdairage Yoder 1345-1400 Modeling perspectives Lars Pierce 1400-1430 Discussion 1430-1500 Break (coffee/tea) 1500-1700 The Respiration Hypothesis (Rapporteur: Linder) 1500-1545 General overview: Experimental Miiknelikyan 1545-1615 Modeling perspectives Roddy Dewar 1615-1700 Discussion 1830 Group Dinner Monday December 8, 1997 0830-1130 Nutrient Limitation Hypothesis (Rapporteur: Gower) 0830-0900 General overview Dan Binklev 0900-0915 Experimental findings: Sweden Sune Linder 0915-0930 Experimental findings: Austral Mark Jeffreys 0930-1000 Modeling perspectives Ross McMurtrie 1000-1030 Break (coffee/tea) 1030-1130 Discussion 1130-1300 Lunch 1300-1500 Other Hypotheses (Rapporteur: McMurtrie) 1300-1315 Carbon allocation:expeirmental Tamdinger 1315-1330 Carbon allocation: modeling perspectivesar 1330-1345 Carbon allocation: modeling perspices inverse 1345-1415 Source-sink relationship Bob Luxmoore 1415-1445 Discussion: other hypotheses 1445-1515 Break (coffee/tea) 1515-1630 Future Direction<sup>1</sup> 1630-1730 Data Synthesis Group (Chair-Gower, Rapporteur - Ryan)

Modeling Group (Chair-McMurtrie, Rapporteur - Dewar)

1900 Group Dinner

Tuesday December 9, 1997

- 0830-0930 Data Synthesis Group continue (Chair-Gower, Ryan- Rapporte Modeling Group continue (Chair-McMurtrie, Dewar- Rapporteu
- 0930-1000 Data Synthesis Group Report /Discussion
- 1000-1030 Modeling Group Report / Discussion
- 1030-1045 Break (coffee/tea)
- 1045-1200 Planning (issues include joint papers, working group activi 12 months, phase 1 & 2 for data synthesis and modeling grou group coordination, discussion of activities to be complete meeting, schedule for next meeting
- 1200-1300 Lunch
- 1300-1400 Rapporteurs prepare and submit summaries
- 1400-1430 Wrap-up
- 1430-?? Depart

<sup>1</sup>Future Direction: Objective of this session is for field ecologists existing data sets (including micrometeorological data), their limita may soon become available. The discussion should also consider the di for synthesizing experimental data and decide how best to proceed. Is not limited to:

- 1. List of data sets available, including
  - NPP age sequence studies
  - experimental plantations of fast growing species
  - experimental and comparative studies examining causes for decli
- 2. Do the data sets encompass enough forest ecosystems to provide a <u>c</u> perspective?
- 3. For each forest NPP decline study, what other data are available t three hypotheses?
- 4. Can we identify the cause of NPP decline?
- 5. What data-based methods are available to identify NPP decline with
- 6. Future data needs and priorities
- 7. Discuss Mark's role in Phase 1 of data analysis

The modeling group will need to plan activities for the next 12 month working group). Activities may best be divided into two phases: Dec. (proposed 2<sup>nd</sup> workshop) and May 1998 - December 1998

Issues to be decided during the first workshop include:
1. Which models to use? BIOME-BGC, G'DAY, SUSTAIN, others???

- 2. How many sites do we initially attempt to parameterize and simulat• use contrasting climates, hydraulic architecture, leaf habit
- 3. Implement a common logic to simulate hypothesized processes respondecline or use different logic in each model?
- 4. Data needs to parameterize model(s)
- 5. Should we compare models against data or concentrate on model inter
- 6. Define specifics of model simulations
  - length of simulation, varying climate, etc.
- 7. Determine roles of Mark and modeling sub-group during phase 1 and 2
- 8. Global implications? Is it premature for this group to tackle thi